REMARKS

Applicant respectfully requests reconsideration of the present application.

Office Action Rejections Summary

Claims 1-4, 6-16, and 18-20 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as being

unpatentable over Cideciyan (US 5,177,482) in view of Matsui (US 5,825,309) or

Millman, "Microelectronics Digital and Analog Circuits and Systems."

Status of Claims

Claims 1-4, 6-16, and 18-20 were pending. New claim 21 has been added

without introducing new matter. Claims 1-4, 6-8, 12, 14-16, and 19 have been

amended without introducing any new matter. No claims have been canceled in the

current response.

Claim Objections

The Examiner had objected to claims 6 and 18 under 37 C.F.R. § 1.75(c) as

being of improper dependent form for failing to further limit the subject matter of a

previous claim. Accordingly, the base claims of claims 6 and 18, i.e., claims 1 and 14,

respectively, have been amended to overcome the objection. Withdrawal of the

objection is respectfully requested.

Inventor(s): Edward Grivna Application No.: 10/822,183

Cypress Ref. No.: CD04010

Examiner: Williams, Howard L. Art Unit: 2819

Claim Rejections

The Examiner has rejected claims 1-4, 6-16, and 18-20 under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a)

as being unpatentable over Cideciyan (US 5,177,482) in view of Matsui (US

5,825,309) or Millman, "Microelectronics Digital and Analog Circuits and Systems."

Applicant respectfully traverses the rejection.

Claim 1 as amended recites:

evaluating one or more source characters to determine an intermediate

running disparity for each of the one or more source characters; and

determining a running disparity for each of the one or more source

characters before encoding the one or more source characters based on a

current running disparity associated with the one or more source characters

and the intermediate running disparity of each of the one or more source

characters.

(Claim 1, emphasis added)

In contrast, Cideciyan fails to disclose at least the above noted limitation.

According to Cideciyan, a next coder state bit S(N+1) is generated on line 29

(referring to Figure 2) in dependence of the old coder state bit S(N) and a function of

the old data byte (Cideciyan, col. 7, ln. 39-41). Furthermore, when multiple data

Inventor(s): Edward Grivna Application No.: 10/822,183

Cypress Ref. No.: CD04010

Examiner: Williams, Howard L. Art Unit: 2819

bytes are encoded, each of the coder state bit is associated with a specific data byte (one of 27A-27D in Figure 5). Cideciyan does not disclose a current running disparity associated with the one or more source characters.

Furthermore, neither Matsui nor Millman teaches the limitations set forth above. Matsui and Millman merely disclose the use of lookup tables. Therefore, a combination of Cideciyan and Matsui or Cideciyan and Millman does not include all the limitations in claim 1. Thus, claim 1 as amended is patentable over Cideciyan in view of Matsui or Millman for at least this reason. Applicant respectfully requests withdrawal of the rejection.

Claim 8 as amended sets forth:

a decoder to determine a flip/hold bit for each of a plurality of source characters based on whether a respective source character will invert or maintain a current running disparity associated with the plurality of source characters; and

a comparator coupled to the decoder to compare the flip/hold bit with the current running disparity to determine a running disparity for the respective source character before the respective source character is encoded. (Claim 8, emphasis added).

In contrast, Cideciyan fails to disclose at least the above noted limitation.

According to Cideciyan, a next coder state bit S(N+1) is generated on line 29

(referring to Figure 2) in dependence of the old coder state bit S(N) and a function of

- 10/13-

Inventor(s): Edward Grivna Application No.: 10/822,183 Cypress Ref. No.: CD04010 Examiner: Williams, Howard L. Art Unit: 2819

the old data byte (Cideciyan, col. 7, ln. 39-41). Furthermore, when multiple data bytes are encoded, each of the coder state bit is associated with a specific data byte (one of 27A-27D in Figure 5). Cideciyan does not disclose a current running disparity associated with the plurality of source characters.

Furthermore, neither Matsui nor Millman teaches the limitations set forth above. Matsui and Millman merely disclose the use of lookup tables. Therefore, a combination of Cideciyan and Matsui or Cideciyan and Millman does not include all the limitations in claim 8. Thus, claim 8 is patentable over Cideciyan in view of Matsui or Millman for at least this reason. Applicant respectfully requests withdrawal of the rejection.

Claim 14 as amended is patentable over Cideciyan in view of Matsui or Millman for at least the reason discussed above with respect to claim 1. Withdrawal of the rejection is respectfully requested.

Claims 2-4, 6-7, 9-13, 15-16, and 18-19 depend, directly or indirectly, from claims 1, 8, and 14, respectively. For at least the reasons discussed above with respect to claims 1, 8, and 14, respectively, claims 2-4, 6-7, 9-13, 15-16, 18-19 are patentable over Cideciyan in view of Matsui or Millman. Applicant respectfully requests the Examiner to withdraw the rejection.

New claim 21 depends from claim 1, and thus, having additional limitations, claim 21 is patentable over Cideciyan in view of Matsui or Millman for at least the

Inventor(s): Edward Grivna Application No.: 10/822,183 Cypress Ref. No.: CD04010 Examiner: Williams, Howard L.
Art Unit: 2819

reason discussed above with respect to claim 1. Allowance of claim 21 is earnestly solicited.

- 12/13-

Inventor(s): Edward Grivna Application No.: 10/822,183 Cypress Ref. No.: CD04010 Examiner: Williams, Howard L. Art Unit: 2819

Conclusion

Applicant respectfully submits that the present application is in condition for

allowance. If the Examiner believes a telephone conference would expedite or assist

in the allowance of the present application, the Examiner is invited to call C. Teresa

Wong at (408) 720-8300.

Pursuant to 37 C.F.R. 1.136(a)(3), Applicant hereby requests and authorizes

the U.S. Patent and Trademark Office to (1) treat any concurrent or future reply that

requires a petition for extension of time as incorporating a petition for extension of

time for the appropriate length of time and (2) charge all required fees, including

extension of time fees and fees under 37 C.F.R. 1.16 and 1.17, to Deposit Account No.

02-2666.

Respectfully submitted,

BLAKELY, SOKOLOFF, TAYLOR & ZAFMAN LLP

Date: January 9, 2006

Chui-kiu Teresa Wong

Reg. No. 48,042

Customer No. 008791 12400 Wilshire Boulevard

Seventh Floor

Los Angeles, CA 90025-1030

(408) 720-8300